



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

WEXNER MEDICAL CENTER

The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center
Center for Continuing Medical Education

SPEAKER EVALUATION

Towards Universal Chemosensory Testing November 5-7, 2023, Philadelphia, PA, USA

In an effort to anticipate and plan for future programming, please take time to complete this evaluation form. Your suggestions and feedback are extremely important.

- I AM A:
- Actively Practicing Physician
 - Healthcare Resident/Fellow
 - Nurse/PA/SLP/AuD
 - Retired Physician/Health Professional
 - Other _____

Rate the following presentations, on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = Strongly Disagree; 3 = Neutral; 5 = Strongly Agree)

	Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	
Patient and Caregiver stories interspersed with scientific and clinical highlights					
Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Longitudinal smell testing to detect SARS-CoV-2 infection

MARK ALBERS, MD, PHD (MASS. GENERAL HOSPITAL / HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

The ArOMa-T, a tool for rapid, adaptive testing of olfactory thresholds

JOHN HAYES, PHD (PENN STATE)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Confectionary-based screening tool to assess chemosensory loss in COVID-19 patients

CHRISTOPHER SIMONS, PHD (OHIO STATE)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

SCENTinel and other screening tools for remote testing

PAMELA DALTON, PHD (MONELL)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

The patients perspective

KATIE BOATENG (STANA)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

The primary care provider perspective

PAULE JOSEPH, PHD (NIH)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

The ENT perspective

JEB JUSTICE, MD (UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

The hospital administration perspective

EDMUND PRIBITKIN, MD, MBA (THOMAS JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

It's in the millions: Epidemiological studies of chemosensory disorders

JAYANT PINTO, MD (UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Skepticism of screening for all

FRANK FRANKLIN, PHD, JD, MPH (PHILADELPHIA HEALTH DEPARTMENT)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

The insurance coverage for chemosensory testing

JENNIFER DOUGLAS, MD (UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Trainee blitz talks to share in lay terms the content of the talks of the day

MODERATOR: KAI ZHAO, OHIO STATE

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Chemosensory testing to support population screening

JOSHUA LEVY (NIDCD)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Chemosensory testing: challenges and opportunities

RICHARD DOTY, PHD (UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Population screening of sensory function

HOWARD HOFFMAN (NIDCD)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

NIH Toolbox: what did we learn?

SUSAN COLDWELL (UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

The NHANES experience: chemosensory testing in large cohort

VALERIE DUFFY (UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Screening in infancy and childhood

JULIE MENNELLA (MONELL)

M. YANINA PEPINO (UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Supporting long-term consequences of chemosensory loss: nutrition and mental health

PATRICE HUBERT, PHD (MONELL)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Piloting smell testing tools in the home, workplace and public health settings in the UK

DUNCAN BOAK (FIFTH SENSE)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Taste testing: impact on basic science and cancer care

LINDA BARLOW, PHD (UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

The future with routine chemosensory testing

MARIANNA OBRIST, PHD (UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Trainee blitz talks to share in lay terms the content of the talks of the day 2

MODERATOR: VALENTINA PARMA (MONELL)

Material presented was appropriate and useful	1	2	3	4	5
Level of presentation was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Speaker was knowledgeable and effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overall Satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments: _____

Based on your knowledge and experience, the level of this program was:

Too basic Appropriate Too complex

Would you participate in future programs? Yes No Undecided

Comments: _____
